Decidability CSCI 338



## Church-Turing Thesis

## Intuitive notion of algorithms.

# Turing Machine algorithms.

TM M: on input  $\omega$ 

- 1. If  $\omega = \varepsilon$ , accept. Otherwise, change first *a* to a 1.
- 2. Move right to first *b* and change to a 2. Reject if *c* or \_ found first.
- 3. Move right to first *c* and change to a 3. Reject if *a* or \_ found first.
- 4. Move back to first *a*. If it exists, loop to step 1. If not, exit loop.
- 5. Move right to verify no *b* or *c* exist. If so, reject. If not, accept.





A language is <u>Turing recognizable</u> if there is a TM that accepts every string in the language, and nothing not in the language.

A language L is <u>decidable</u> if there is a TM that recognizes L and rejects everything else. (i.e. halts on all input)

Called a decider.

## Definitions Language $L = \{w: |w| \text{ is even}\}$



Called a decider.

A language is <u>Turing recognizable</u> if there is a TM that accepts every string in the language, and nothing not in the language.

```
Recognizer:
    if (s.length() % 2 == 0) {
        return true;
        } else {
        while (true) {
        }
    }
}
```



### **Computability Hierarchy**

<u>Recognizable</u>:  $\exists$  TM that accepts everything in L, and nothing not.

<u>Decidable</u>:  $\exists$  TM that recognizes L and rejects everything else.

Turing-recognizable

Other

Regular Context-Free Decidable



## $A_{DFA}$ Denotes string encoding of some object Claim: $A_{DFA} = (B, \omega) B$ is a DFA that accepts string $\omega$ } is a decidable language.

#### 

 $Q = \{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$   $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$   $\delta: \quad 0 \quad 1$   $q_1 \quad q_1 \quad q_2$  $q_2 \quad q_3 \quad q_2$ 

 $q_3 | q_2 | q_2$ 

Start state =  $q_1$  $F = \{q_2\}$ 

private String[] states; private char[] alphabet; private HashMap<String, HashMap<Character, HashSet<String>>> transitions; private String startState; private String[] acceptStates; public String name;

## **DFA Formal Definition**

# $A_{DFA}$ Denotes string encoding of some object Claim: $A_{DFA} = (B, \omega) B$ is a DFA that accepts string $\omega$ } is a decidable language.





Proof:



Proof:

 $M_1 = \text{on input } \langle B, \omega \rangle$ 



Proof:

$$M_1 = \text{on input } \langle B, \omega \rangle$$
  
1. ?



Proof:

$$M_{1} = \text{ on input } \langle B, \omega \rangle$$
  
1. Run *B* on  $\omega$ .  
2. ?



Proof:

$$M_1 = \text{ on input } \langle B, \omega \rangle$$
  
1. Run *B* on  $\omega$ .  
2. If *B* accepts, accept. If *B* rejects, reject.



Proof:

$$M_{1} = \text{ on input } \langle B, \omega \rangle$$
1. Run *B* on  $\omega$ .
2. If *B* accepts, accept. If *B* rejects, reject.
$$M_{1} \text{ is a decider, because }?$$



Proof:

$$M_{1} = \text{ on input } \langle B, \omega \rangle$$
1. Run *B* on  $\omega$ .
2. If *B* accepts, accept. If *B* rejects, reject.
$$M_{1} \text{ is a decider, because all DFAs halt on all input.}$$



Proof:

$$M_1 = \text{ on input } \langle B, \omega \rangle$$
 Implementation Details?  
1. Run *B* on  $\omega$ .

2. If *B* accepts, <u>accept</u>. If *B* rejects, <u>reject</u>.

M<sub>1</sub> is a decider, because all DFAs halt on all input.



Proof:

$$M_1 = \text{ on input } \langle B, \omega \rangle$$
 Implementation Details?  
1. Run *B* on  $\omega$ .

2. If *B* accepts, <u>accept</u>. If *B* rejects, <u>reject</u>.

M<sub>1</sub> is a decider, because all DFAs halt on all input.





Proof:

$$M_1 = \text{ on input } \langle B, \omega \rangle$$
 Implementation Details?  
1. Run *B* on  $\omega$ .

2. If *B* accepts, <u>accept</u>. If *B* rejects, <u>reject</u>.

#### M<sub>1</sub> is a decider, because all DFAs halt on all input.



Mark current state. Mark current character. Find applicable transition. Update state/character.





Proof:

?



Proof:

$$M_2 = \text{ on input } \langle C, \omega \rangle$$
  
1. Convert *C* to an equivalent DFA *B*.



Proof:

$$M_2 = on input \langle C, \omega \rangle$$

- 1. Convert *C* to an equivalent DFA *B*.
- 2. Run M<sub>1</sub> (TM from first example) on  $\langle B, \omega \rangle$ .



Proof:

$$M_2 = on input \langle C, \omega \rangle$$

- 1. Convert *C* to an equivalent DFA *B*.
- 2. Run M<sub>1</sub> (TM from first example) on  $\langle B, \omega \rangle$ .
- 3. If  $M_1$  accepts, <u>accept</u>. If  $M_1$  rejects, <u>reject</u>.



Proof:

$$M_2 = on input \langle C, \omega \rangle$$

- 1. Convert *C* to an equivalent DFA *B*.
- 2. Run M<sub>1</sub> (TM from first example) on  $\langle B, \omega \rangle$ .
- 3. If  $M_1$  accepts, <u>accept</u>. If  $M_1$  rejects, <u>reject</u>.

M<sub>2</sub> is a decider, because ?



Proof:

$$M_2 = on input \langle C, \omega \rangle$$

- 1. Convert *C* to an equivalent DFA *B*.
- 2. Run M<sub>1</sub> (TM from first example) on  $\langle B, \omega \rangle$ .
- 3. If  $M_1$  accepts, <u>accept</u>. If  $M_1$  rejects, <u>reject</u>.

M<sub>2</sub> is a decider, because all DFAs halt on all input.